IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE IN CHHATTISGARH AT BILA[redacted]

W.P. No. : 2883 / 2003

PETITIONER : VIDYA SAGAR AGRAWAL - Advocate,
Aged 66 years, S/o. Late Shri J.P. Agrawal
R/o. Jain Mandir Road,
Baniya Para, Durg (Chhattisgarh)

Versus

RESPONDENTS :

(1) State of Chhattisgarh
Through the Secretary – Law & Legislative Affairs Department,
Govt. of Chhattisgarh, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan
– Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

RECEIVED COPY
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 / 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(53)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP 2885/03 **सन्** 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	22.12.2004 On a mention being made, the case is taken up for hearing. Shri H.B. Agarwal, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Pramod Kumar Verma, Additional Advocate General for the State. By this petition under Article 226/227 of Constitution of India the petitioner has challenged the order dated 26.12.2002 (Annexure P-1) whereby the application of the petitioner for renewal of his license to practice as Public Notary was rejected. The petitioner's case is that his application was rejected without assigning any reason. I have heard learned counsel for the parties. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that even order Annexure P-10 whereby the petitioner's term was extended for a period of three years was not in consonance with the provisions of amended Notaries Act. As per amended Act w.e.f. 17.12.1999 the license of the petitioner ought to have been renewed for a period of five years, whereas vide order 14.7.2000 (Annexure P-10) his license has been renewed only for a period of three years and this fact was also not taken into consideration by the respondent at the time of passing the impugned order on account of which the petitioner has suffered irreparable loss. Counsel further submits that now the term of the petitioner has expired on 16.11.2004, therefore, the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to the respondent for redressal of his grievances.	

GLPRJ—FS/78 —9/2000 —50,000.

(54)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... **सन्** 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश — १ —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

The request made by learned counsel for petitioner appears to be fair and reasonable, to which learned Additional Advocate General has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent within a period of 15 days from today raising all his grievances and in turn respondent shall consider the representation of the petitioner in an objective manner and pass an appropriate reasoned order after considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner within another thirty days.

With the above direction, the petition stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

R/—

GLPRJ—FS/7B — 9/2000 —50,000.

13.1.05 13.1.05 24-12-04